

## Overview

Robot-mediated communication is now popular for attending work, conferences, and other interpersonal events remotely. But, because this is a new mode of communication, even starting a conversation can be unfamiliar. Some basic robot-mediated interactions are not fully understood by users, locals, and the literature.

Few studies have looked at approach paths of telepresence robots. None have investigated dialogue timing preferences.

We explored these common robot-mediated facets of conversation openings. When opening a conversation via a robot...

- Where should you approach from?
- When should start *YOU* talking?

Present data are preliminary.



Learn more about our lab: reco.sites.ucsc.edu Contact us: akjwilli@ucsc.edu rolutz@ucsc.edu khsiao@ucsc.edu







# HRI Openings: Approach Paths and Dialogue Timing Akim Williams, Rosa Lutz, Katherine Hsiao, Nicholas Santer, and Leila Takayama



# (2) Survey Responses

### **Average ratings of robot operator**

Critical	Depend able	Open	Sympa thetic	Calm
4.25	5.25	3.5	5.5	5.75
2.5	5	3	2.75	5.75
1.75	0.25	0.5	2.75	0

### **Comparative\*** ratings of robot operator

Critical	Depend able	Open	Sympa thetic	Calm
-1.25	-1	1.5	-2.25	-1.5
-0.75	-1.25	-0.25	-1	-3.25
-0.5	0.25	1.75	-1.25	1.75

\**Comparative* ratings were calculated by subtracting the participants' ratings of the robot operator from ratings of themself.

# (3) Interview Responses

### Early dialogue

view questions	Example answers	
nk it was socially ?	"Like, weirdly yes"	
kward?	"A little bit, just because he started talking way over there"	
a have approached Ferent direction?	"I like straight forward personally"	
a have started	"I like that better than him coming up and then talking"	

### Late dialogue

view questions	Example answers
you think?	"It was intimidating and strange"
re operating .the robot, ald you have started rsation?	"Probably would have started talking all the way over there"
n?	"It was awkward But the boundaries of social acceptability are different when you're not in person"

H2: Back approaches with late dialogue timing will be **more uncomfortable** than all other approaches.

	Н	٥Ŵ
	4	
	3	
	2	
	1	
	0	
Part	icip	ants

These data suggest that a late conversation is less comfortable than an early one. Is there a hard and fast rule for how to approach someone as a robot, regardless of situated variables?

For a large group of fundamental social interactions, humans treat robots in a strikingly similar way (Reeves & Nass, 1996). But interacting with a robot can often be different than interacting with a human. Conversation openings are fundamental to social interaction. But, when operating a robot, do they align with sociological expectations? Or are these interactions unintuitive?

Gratitude goes to the Re-embodied Cognition lab, Kathy Flores, Yuval Shabtai, Christopher Larking, Syler McCarthy, Rowell Ramirez, and the participants in this study.

# Hypotheses

H1: Back approaches will be more **comfortable** than front.

H3: Front approaches with late dialogue timing will be the **most awkward**.

# (3) Interview Ratings



rated (0-4) how they felt during the stimulus phase, (1).

# Discussion

# Acknowledgments